

# PRIMING EFFECTS ON SOIL ORGANIC CARBON DECOMPOSITION INDUCED BY HIGH C:N CROP INPUTS

Mazzilli, Sebastián <sup>1(\*)</sup>; Piñeiro, Gervasio <sup>2</sup>; Kemanian, Armen <sup>3</sup>

1 - Facultad de Agronomía - Udelar (EEMAC) (\*) Uruguay

2 - Facultad de Agronomía - UBA – IFEVA/CONICET

3 – Department of Plant Sciences, The Pennsylvania State University

## 1- Abstract.

Carbon budgets in soils can be computed by a simple equation in which inputs are accounted by the humification of residues added to the soil, and outputs are estimated by the respiration of soil organic carbon ( $C_s$ ). It is generally accepted that physical protection in soil aggregates and chemical recalcitrance limit the rate of  $C_s$  decomposition. We propose that soybean-based crop sequences will have lower steady state  $C_s$  stocks than those based on corn, because of lower C inputs and enhanced soil respiration of  $C_s$  due to the so-called priming effect. To address this hypothesis, we studied  $C_s$  turnover from  $\delta^{13}C$  natural abundance changes in no-till corn and soybean crops. Soybean and corn crops were sown with a complete randomized design with three replicates on an old pasture with an intermediate soil  $\delta^{13}C$  signal of -16.34. Soils were sampled at the beginning and after two years of continuous no till cropping. Aboveground and belowground C inputs were measured each year for corn and soybean crops. Soil and plant samples were analyzed for C, nitrogen and  $^{13}C/^{12}C$  ratio. Corn C inputs were significantly higher than soybean (10.7 and 7.0 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>), but no significant changes in  $C_s$  were observed among treatments (68 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> in the first 0.3 m). However, the  $C_s$  turnover and humification rates under corn (0.015 and 0.18 y<sup>-1</sup>) were higher than under soybean (0.006 and 0.11 y<sup>-1</sup>). Contrary to our predictions high C:N corn residues enhanced  $C_s$  decomposition, but also the stabilization of fresh residues into  $C_s$ , suggesting that soil microbes mine the soil organic matter while feeding on high C:N crop residues. Possible explanations for such result are discussed.

## 2- Introduction.

Soil organic carbon ( $C_s$ ) is a major determinant of agricultural soils productivity. The storage of  $C_s$  has been proposed as part of a strategy to reduce the accumulation of carbon (C) dioxide in the atmosphere (Lal, 2004; Bernoux et al., 2006). The annual organic C balance of a soil layer can be accounted for by a simple equation in which inputs are represented by the amount of residues and roots added to the soil and a retention or humification coefficient, and outputs are represented by the respiratory losses from  $C_s$  using first order kinetics. This model was formalized by Hénin and Dupuis (1945) who established that for a given time step a fraction of the decomposed residues becomes  $C_s$  with a humification coefficient  $h$  (T<sup>-1</sup>) and a fraction  $k$  (T<sup>-1</sup>) of  $C_s$  is lost through microbial respiration.

This equation and the coefficients therein allow for a straightforward characterization of the factors affecting

the  $C_s$  balance. Estimates of the coefficient  $h$  reported by Hénin and Dupuis (1945) were approximately 0.3, 0.08, 0.1 and 0.15 for farmyard manure, corn, and small-grain cereal straw, and roots of corn and small-grain cereals, respectively. Other estimates are within the range originally provided by these authors. In a 12-yr study, Barber (1979) estimated an  $h$  ranging from 0.08 to 0.11 for aboveground corn in Indiana, USA, while Plénet et al (1993) reported  $h$  between 0.055 and 0.065 for aboveground biomass and 0.16 and 0.30 for belowground biomass of corn in the southwest of France. Recent estimates from a 50-yr study in Sweden-Upsala, Kätterer et al. (2011) report  $h$  of 0.17 for aboveground biomass and 0.39 for belowground inputs. All of these estimates were made under the assumption that C inputs from rhizodeposition are similar to those of the root biomass.

The magnitude of  $k$  depends on temperature, humidity, and soil factors such as soil aggregation controlled by

texture and tillage. Soils that are cool, dry or too wet have slower decomposition rates than soils that have conditions more favorable for microbial growth. These conditions are affected by the crop sequence and tillage system (Wildung et al., 1975; Bunnell et al., 1977; Kowalenko et al., 1978; Buyanovsky and Wagner, 1986; Power et al., 1986; Hendrix et al., 1988; Gregorich et al., 1998).

In South America and in particular in the temperate region that includes Uruguay and Argentina, two trends converged in the last decade: a transition to no-till cropping and the expansion of corn and soybean as summer crops. In Uruguay, which has approximately one million hectares of rainfed summer annual crops, about 10 and 85 % of the area is dedicated to no-till production of corn and soybean, respectively (DIEA 2011).

In no-till systems aboveground residues remain in the soil surface. Soil mechanical disturbance is minimal and restricted to the top 5 to 8 cm of the soil profile, which reduces soil erosion and  $C_s$  turnover rate compared to conventionally tilled systems. It has been reported and customarily assumed that the balance of these effects causes  $C_s$  to increase in the top layer (West and Post, 2002); however, a net gain of  $C_s$  is not necessarily detectable when the entire soil profile is accounted for (Baker et al., 2007; Blanco-Canqui and Lal, 2008). Residue type may also play a role at determining the  $C_s$  balance since residues from corn and soybean have different properties. For a given soil and climate, the corn residue mass is  $\approx 1.5$  larger than that returned by soybean (Buyanovsky and Wagner, 1986; Paustian et al., 1997; Andriulo et al., 1999; Allmaras et al., 2000; Studdert and Echeverría, 2000; Al-Kaisi et al., 2005; Huggins et al., 2007) with a much higher C:N ratio, ranging from 60 to 105 for corn and 25 to 50 for soybean (Broder and Wagner, 1988; Ernst et al., 2002; Al-Kaisi et al., 2005; Oelbermann and Echarte, 2011). The lower inputs of C through soybean residues have raised concerns on the ability of soybean-based system to sustain existing  $C_s$  levels (Buyanovsky and Wagner, 1986; Andriulo et al., 1999; Al-Kaisi et al., 2005; Huggins et al., 2007).

Isotopic tracing of natural  $^{13}C$  is a suitable method for identifying the source of C in  $C_s$  and its turnover rate. The method is particularly useful when a system originally managed with C3 plants ( $\delta^{13}C = -26$  ‰) is substituted by C4 plant ( $\delta^{13}C = -12$  ‰) (Balesdent et al., 1988), or in systems where the soil exhibits an intermediate isotopic composition ( $\delta^{13}C = -18$  to  $-21$  ‰) allowing to follow both

the decline of  $\delta^{13}C$  C in soil following the introduction of C3 plants and its enrichment after the introduction of C4 plants (Balesdent et al., 1988; Desjardins et al., 1994; Andriulo et al., 1999; Bayala et al., 2006; Desjardins et al., 2006).

In the agricultural soils of Uruguay  $C_s$  levels are relatively high, commonly in the order of  $50 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$  in the top 0.2 m of the soil profile (García-Prechac et al., 2004; Ernst et al., 2009; Salvo et al., 2010). We hypothesize that under no-till, corn and soybean will affect  $C_s$  dynamics differently. Specifically, we propose that soybean-dominated systems will have lower steady state  $C_s$  for two reasons: first, lower C inputs through residues and roots, and second due to the so-called priming effect, i.e. accelerated decomposition of the existing  $C_s$  due to the lower C:N ratio of the residue inputs (Hendrix et al., 1988; Andriulo et al., 1999). To address this hypothesis, we studied the turnover of  $C_s$  utilizing  $\delta^{13}C$  natural abundance isotopic techniques in no-till corn and soybean systems in which aboveground and belowground C inputs were manipulated. Our specific objective is to estimate the  $C_s$  apparent turnover and residue humification in response to the amount and quality of residues in the first years of an old pasture conversion to no-till agriculture.

### 3- Materials and methods.

#### 3.1- Plot Establishment and Field Measurements.

The experiment was located 10 km south of Paysandú ( $31^\circ 21' \text{ S}$  and  $58^\circ 02' \text{ W}$ ; 61 m elevation) in the northwest of Uruguay, which corresponds broadly with the eastern edge of the South American Pampas. The climate is meso-thermal sub-humid climate with a mean daily temperature of 25 and 13 °C for summer and winter, respectively, and annual precipitations of 1200 mm distributed on average uniformly within the year, but with a large intra- and inter-annual variation. The soil at the site is a fertile Typic Argiudol with a slope of about 1.0%. Soil particle-size distribution in the first 0.3 m is 280, 440 and 280  $\text{g kg}^{-1}$  of sand, silt, and clay, respectively.

Between 1940 and 1970 the study site was under continuous annual cropping of wheat (one crop per year) under conventional tillage (inversion tillage plus several secondary operations). From 1970 to 1993 annual crops were rotated with pastures in a six-year rotation consisting of three years of white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.), birdsfoot trefoil (*Lotus corniculatus* L.), and

tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea* L.) and three years of crops at 1.7 crops per year (Ernst et al., 2009). From 1993 until the beginning of the experiment in 2007 the site was not cropped and was gradually colonized by bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon* L. – C4 perennial), maintaining a variable abundance of annual ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum* L.) and white clover.

The experiment was established in April of 2007. The experimental area was treated with glyphosate at a rate of 3.0 kg a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> and subsequently at a rate of 1.5-2.0 kg a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> depending on weed infestation and weather conditions. Crops were sown on December 6 in 2007 and November 28 in 2008. Pre- and post-emergent herbicides were applied in all treatments to control weeds as needed, and insects and diseases controlled chemically based on regular insect population monitoring. Prior to sowing, all plots were fertilized with 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of ammonium phosphate (27 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>). At V6 corn was side dressed with 69 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as urea. All crops are no-till planted in 30 x 5.2 m plots with 0.52-m row spacing.

The experiment has four treatments arranged in randomized blocks with three replications. The treatments are: continuous corn (CC), continuous soybean (SS), and two exchange stubble treatments: continuous corn with soybean stubble (SC), and continuous soybean with corn stubble (CS). For the exchange plots, the aboveground residue from the corn and soybean plots are removed and exchanged within each replicate.

Soils were sampled before sowing in November 2007 and November 2009. Samples were taken at seven depths (0-5, 5-10, 10-20, 20-30, 30-50, 50-70 and 70-100 cm). In 2007, a sample consisted of a single 7-cm diameter core per plot. In 2009, each sample was a composite of four 2-cm diameter cores per plot. Samples were weighed and approximately a third of the sample was dried to 105 °C to estimate soil moisture. The soil moisture was used to estimate the soil mass and bulk density (BD). The remainder of the samples were lightly crushed and sieved through a 2 mm mesh and dried to 60 °C. In this report we only show data from the CC and SS treatments in the first 0.3 m depths.

### 3.2- Carbon and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ Carbon Natural Abundance Analyses

Inputs of C from aboveground and belowground biomass were measured each year. Grain yield and total aboveground biomass of each plot was determined after physiological maturity by harvesting 2 m of two adjacent center rows (2.08 m<sup>2</sup>). Roots were measured at flowering using the soil core method. In each plot, two soil cores (diameter = 5 cm; depth = 100 cm) were taken on the row and in the inter-row space at the same depth intervals used for the soil samples. The intact soil cores were frozen immediately after sampling and kept at -20 °C. To recover the roots, the samples were thawed, and roots were separated from the soil using water and three sieves of 2, 0.5, and 0.05 cm. The roots were collected individually with tweezers. The plot root mass was calculated by averaging the row and inter-row samples. Sub-samples of corn and soybean aboveground and root mass, and samples of soil from each sampling time were ball-milled and analyzed for C, N and <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratio with an elemental C-N analyzer interfaced with an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Carlo Erba, model NA 1500 and Fisons, Optima model; Fisons, Middlewich-Cheshire, UK) at Duke University, USA. The C isotope ratios were expressed as  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ :

$$\delta^{13}\text{C} \text{ ‰} = \left( \frac{R_{\text{sam}}}{R_{\text{std}}} - 1 \right) \times 10^3$$

where  $R_{\text{sam}}$  = <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratio for the sample, and  $R_{\text{std}}$  = <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratio of the Pee Dee Belemnite standard.

$C_s$  was partitioned into original or native C (i.e. present at the beginning of the experiment) and new C using a mixing equation:

$$C_{\text{Snew}} = \delta - \delta_0 (\delta_v - \delta_0)$$

$$C_{\text{Snew}} = C_{\text{Snew}} \times C_i$$

$$C_{\text{or}} = (1 - C_{\text{Snew}}) \times C_f$$

where  $C_{\text{Snew}}$  is the fraction of total C derived from the new vegetation;  $C_{\text{Snew}}$  (Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) is the C derived from the new vegetation (soybean or corn),  $\delta$  is the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of soil at sampling time,  $\delta_0$  is the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of soil at the beginning of the experiment,;  $\delta_v$  is the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of the residue input

from new vegetation,  $C_f$  is the amount of C at the end of the time interval considered, and  $C_{or}$  is the amount of original C at the end of the time interval considered. The C stock of each plot was calculated on a constant soil mass basis. C mineralization was calculated assuming an exponential decrease of the original amount as follows:

$$C_{ort} = C_o \times \exp(-kt).$$

This is the integral of Henin and Dupuis (1945) differential equation when  $C_i = 0$ . The constant  $k$  can be readily solved. The humification coefficient ( $h$ ) for each crop was first computed by bulking aboveground and belowground inputs and ignoring inputs from rhizodeposition. However inputs by rhizodeposition will change the measured  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  signature of  $C_s$  and is therefore included in our estimates of the fraction of total C derived from the new vegetation. Thus, our estimates of humification represent an upper boundary and are estimated as:  $h = (C_f - C_i) / k \times C_s / S_i$

**Table 1:** Carbon production, carbon and nitrogen concentration and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  mean and standard error in above and belowground biomass.

	Crop	Aboveground†	Belowground‡
Crop Production (Mg of C ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Soybean	6.5 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 0.1
	Corn	8.8 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.1
Crop Biomass Carbon Concentration (g g <sup>-1</sup> )	Soybean	0.50 ± 0.1	0.39 ± 0.04
	Corn	0.44 ± 0.1	0.37 ± 0.04
Crop Biomass Nitrogen Concentration (g g <sup>-1</sup> )	Soybean	2.87 ± 0.03	1.15 ± 0.05
	Corn	0.57 ± 0.07	0.62 ± 0.08
Crop Biomass C:N ratio	Soybean	17.4 ± 0.3	33.3 ± 1.5
	Corn	77.5 ± 5.0	57.3 ± 1.5
Crop Biomass $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	Soybean	-27.10 ± 0.18	-25.07 ± 0.25
	Corn	-13.00 ± 0.18	-13.63 ± 0.25

† Grain is not included; ‡ In the first 30 cm of soil.

There were differences in the C concentration between crops and between above and belowground biomass. Carbon concentrations in both crops were quite different than some figures used in the literature (Andriulo et al., 1999; Clapp et al., 2000; Studdert and Echeverría, 2000) but are in line with reports by Buyanovsky and Wagner (1986) and Bono et al. (2008) particularly for roots. The average concentration of C of roots is around 0.38 g g<sup>-1</sup>, while that of the aboveground residues averaged 0.50

### 3.3- Statistical analysis

Variables were analyzed using a split plot design with treatments (CC and SS) as main plot and time of sampling as subplot. We focused our analysis in the effect of time of sampling, and the interactions between time and treatments. The software used was Infostat 2011/p.

## 4- Results.

### 4.1. Residue dry matter production from crops and its $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signal.

Crop biomass production in both years was high in relation to that expected for rainfed crops in this region. Carbon inputs per year from corn were on average 4.4 and 0.9 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> for aboveground and belowground, respectively. These inputs were approximately 1.2 and 0.5 Mg.ha<sup>-1</sup> higher than those for soybean for aboveground and belowground, respectively.

and 0.44 g g<sup>-1</sup> for soybean and corn. Thus, in terms of C inputs, 1 Mg of soybean aboveground biomass is equivalent to 1.14 Mg of corn biomass. The C isotopic composition of above and belowground biomass was similar within crops and diverged between crops as expected from C4 and C3 plants. In terms of C:N ratio as was expect there were important differences between crops and aboveground and belowground inputs, particularly for soybean.

#### 4.2. Soil organic carbon dynamic and soil $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ .

The initial amount of C was high and in agreement to that reported by Salvo et al. (2010) in a neighboring site. Total initial  $\text{C}_s$   $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  exhibits an intermediate isotopic composition between C3 and C4 signatures in all the profiles analyzed.

**Table 2.** Average  $\text{C}_s$  stock and initial  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  signal per depth and in CC and SS treatments in  $T_2$ .

Depth	$\text{C}_s$ Stock	$\delta^{13}\text{C} - T_0$	$\delta^{13}\text{C} - T_2$ CC	$\delta^{13}\text{C} - T_2$ SS
cm	$\text{Mg ha}^{-1}$		‰	
0 – 5	13.21	-18.08	-17.80	-18.45
5 – 10	12.62	-16.87	-16.90	-16.96
10 – 20	23.24	-16.36	-16.21	-16.72
20 – 30	18.84	-15.08	-15.09	-14.76
0 – 30	67.88	-16.34	-16.25	-16.46

After two growing seasons, there were no significant differences in bulk density (BD) ( $p < 0.6453$ ),  $\text{C}_s$  stock ( $p < 0.8491$ ) and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of  $\text{C}_s$  ( $p < 0.5947$ ), but as expected  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  signal of soil with soybean became more negative and corn soil became more positive. Changes in soil  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  allow calculating the native or original C humification and apparent turnover rate (Table 3).

**Table 3.** Decomposition rates ( $k$ ), residue humification ( $h$ ) and percentage of new carbon ( $\text{C}_{\text{Snew}}$ ) for CC and SS treatments.

Variable	Units	CC	SS
Decomposition rate ( $k$ )	$\text{yr}^{-1}$	0.015	0.006
Humification ( $h$ )	%	18.X	11.X
$\text{C}_{\text{Snew}}$	%	2.9X	1.1X

There were differences between crops in the apparent turnover rate ( $k$ ). Soils with corn (CC) had a two and a half-fold greater  $k$  than the soil with soybeans (SS). Since total  $\text{C}_s$  stock remained stable in the two-year period for both CC and SS, the CC treatment had a higher C inputs and humification rates that compensated the  $\text{C}_s$  losses by decomposition (Table 3).

#### 5- Discussion.

The temperature and hydrologic regime of the region favors a rapid turnover of soil organic matter. In these conditions, the turnover of soil organic matter should be approximately one and a half times faster than cooler and wetter regions like such as the U.S. Midwest (e.g. Huggins et al., 1998) and five times faster than cooler and drier regions like eastern Oregon (e.g. Rasmussen and Smiley, 1997), based on the limitation to microbial activity by temperature and soil water potential used in the model C-Farm (Kemanian and Stöckle, 2010).

Therefore and for comparison, two years in this region corresponds theoretically to approximately three to eight years of an experiment in cooler regions.

In the first two years of this experiment we did not detect a significant change in the size of  $\text{C}_s$  in any treatment. This implies that the  $\text{C}_s$  respired was balanced by inputs from humified aboveground and belowground inputs. We did, however, detect a change in the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  signature of soils under different treatments. Soil under CC acquired a more C4 signal and soil under SS acquired a more C3 signal. However, soil under CC had a higher turnover rate than under SS ( $k$  of 0.015 and 0.006  $\text{yr}^{-1}$ , respectively). Inputs of C from CC were 40% higher than from SS and yet, the humification coefficient for corn inputs was also higher than that of soybean ( $h$  of 0.18 and 0.11  $\text{yr}^{-1}$  for CC and SS, respectively). Therefore, our hypothesis that soybean inputs could have a priming effect that would accelerate  $\text{C}_s$  mineralization should be rejected. Furthermore, our results suggest that the opposite happened, and that corn inputs had a priming effect on  $\text{C}_s$  turnover.

While unexpected, there are several possible explanations for such result. First, soil under corn could have been moister than under soybean. The corn and soybean stubble in this experiment was cut at the ground level and laid flat while in farm conditions a large fraction of the corn stubble remains upright. Due to the large inputs of corn stubble, the residues fully cover the soil surface well into the following spring, which prevents soil drying by evaporation thus maintaining the soil at a high and stable water potential. In soybean ground, the stubble is barely visible four months after harvest (Ernst et al., 2002) and the soil surface undergoes more pronounced drying and wetting cycles. Second, rhizodeposition can enhance soil organic matter turnover (Cheng and Coleman, 1990; Sanchez et al., 2002) and corn C flux through rhizodeposition seems to be significant (Amos and Walters, 2006); however we did not find much information for soybean and this point remains moot. Third, the mineral N fertilizer applied in corn (70 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) could have enhance native soil organic matter mineralization (Khan et al., 2007); yet information in this regard is not conclusive and the amount of N applied is small in relation to the size of organic N pool or that removed in the grain. In addition, it can be argued that the lower C:N ratio of soybean could compensate for such effect.

A fourth possible explanation is based on the ideas proposed by Fontaine et al. (2003). Based on the C:N ratio of the corn residue, the microbial decomposition of the corn residues requires a significant input of N. The addition of low C:N soybean residues could promote the growth of R-strategist microorganisms (Fontaine et al., 2003) that grow quickly and rely primarily on the soybean input biomass per se. In contrast, the addition of large amounts of corn biomass with high C:N ratio would promote the turnover of C<sub>s</sub> by other specialized microorganisms called K-strategist by Fontaine et al. (2003) that may benefit from polymerized substrates which have a long residence time in soil. In these conditions, K-strategists populations could increase the amount of C<sub>s</sub> decomposing enzymes released in the soil, thus accelerating the decomposition of the native C<sub>s</sub>. While the low turnover rate under soybean seems to fit this hypothesis, the data collected is clearly inconclusive. We lack however the evidence to accept this hypothesis with certainty. Yet, future analysis of this experiment should shine some light on these intriguing results.

## 7- Conclusions.

After two years of high input of corn and soybean established under no-till we found no changes in C<sub>s</sub>. This balance was achieved through higher turnover rate and humification in corn, and through lower turnover rate and humification in soybean. Contrary to our predictions, high C:N corn residues accelerated the C<sub>s</sub> turnover, yet corn residues also augmented residue humification. These results warrant further research and the continuation of this experiment.

## 8- Acknowledgments.

This research is part of the doctoral dissertation of Sebastián Mazzilli at the University of Buenos Aires. The field and laboratory research was funded by the program Funds for the Promotion of Technology - National Institute of Agricultural Research of Uruguay (INIA) INIA – FPTA 283. Isotopes analyses were performed at Duke University by Robert B Jackson.

## 9- Keywords.

Soil carbon, soybean, corn, priming effect, <sup>13</sup>C abundance.

## 10- References.

- Al-Kaisi, M.M., Yin, X., Licht, M.A., 2005. Soil carbon and nitrogen changes as affected by tillage system and crop biomass in a corn-soybean rotation. *Applied Soil Ecology* 30, 174-191.
- Allmaras, R., Schomberg, H., Douglas Jr., C., Dao, T.H., 2000. Soil organic carbon sequestration potential of adopting conservation tillage in U.S. croplands. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 55, 365–373.
- Amos, B., Walters, D.T., 2006. Maize Root Biomass and Net Rhizodeposited Carbon: An Analysis of the Literature. *Soil Science Society of American Journal* 70, 1489-1503.
- Andriulo, A., Guerif, J., Mary, B., 1999. Evolution of soil carbon with various cropping sequences on the rolling pampas. Determination of carbon origin using variations in natural <sup>13</sup>C abundances. *Agronomie* 19, 349-364.
- Baker, J.M., Ochsner, T.E., Venterea, R.T., Griffis, T.J., 2007. Tillage and soil carbon sequestration-What do we

- really know? *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 118, 1-5.
- Balesdent, J., Wagner, G.H., Mariotti, A., 1988. Soil Organic Matter Turnover in Long-term Field Experiments as Revealed by Carbon-13 Natural Abundance. *Soil Science Society of American Journal* 52, 118-124.
- Barber, S.A., 1979. Corn Residue Management and Soil Organic Matter. *Agronomy Journal* 71, 625-627.
- Bayala, J., Balesdent, J., Marol, C., Zapata, F., Teklehaimanot, Z., Ouedraogo, S., 2006. Relative contribution of trees and crops to soil carbon content in a parkland system in Burkina Faso using variations in natural  $^{13}\text{C}$  abundance. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 76, 193-201.
- Bernoux, M., Carlos, C., Carlos Eduardo, P.C., Marcos Siqueira, N., Aurélie, M., Anne-Sophie, P., Eric, S., Tantely, R., Didier, B., Marisa de, C.P., Mariana, P., Eleanor, M., 2006. Cropping systems, carbon sequestration and erosion in Brazil, a review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development* 26, 1-8.
- Blanco-Canqui, H., Lal, R., 2008. No-Tillage and Soil-Profile Carbon Sequestration: An On-Farm Assessment. *Soil Science Society of American Journal* 72, 693-701.
- Bono, A., Alvarez, R., Buschiazzo, D.E., Cantet, R.J.C., 2008. Tillage Effects on Soil Carbon Balance in a Semiarid Agroecosystem. *Soil Science Society of American Journal* 72, 1140-1149.
- Broder, M.W., Wagner, G.H., 1988. Microbial Colonization and Decomposition of Corn, Wheat, and Soybean Residue *Soil Science Society of American Journal* 52, 112-117.
- Bunnell, F.L., Tait, D.E.N., Flanagan, P.W., Van Clever, K., 1977. Microbial respiration and substrate weight loss-I: A general model of the influences of abiotic variables. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 9, 33-40.
- Buyanovsky, G., Wagner, G., 1986. Post-harvest residue input to cropland. *Plant and Soil* 93, 57-65.
- Clapp, C.E., Allmaras, R.R., Layese, M.F., Linden, D.R., Dowdy, R.H., 2000. Soil organic carbon and  $^{13}\text{C}$  abundance as related to tillage, crop residue, and nitrogen fertilization under continuous corn management in Minnesota. *Soil and Tillage Research* 55, 127-142.
- Cheng, W., Coleman, D.C., 1990. Effect of living roots on soil organic matter decomposition. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 22, 781-787.
- Desjardins, T., Andreux, F., Volkoff, B., Cerri, C.C., 1994. Organic carbon and  $^{13}\text{C}$  contents in soils and soil size-fractions, and their changes due to deforestation and pasture installation in eastern Amazonia. *Geoderma* 61, 103-118.
- Desjardins, T., Folgarait, P.J., Pando-Bahuon, A., Girardin, C., Lavelle, P., 2006. Soil organic matter dynamics along a rice chronosequence in north-eastern Argentina: Evidence from natural  $^{13}\text{C}$  abundance and particle size fractionation. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 38, 2753-2761.
- DIEA (Dirección de Estadísticas Agropecuarias), 2011. Anuario estadístico agropecuario 2011 (Agricultural Statistics Yearbook 2011). DIEA-MGAP, Montevideo, Uruguay.
- Ernst, O., Bentancur, O., Borges, R., 2002. Descomposición de rastrojo de cultivos en siembra sin laboreo: trigo, maíz, soja y trigo después de maíz o de soja. *Agrociencia* 6, 20-26.
- Ernst, O., Siri-Prieto, G., Cadenazzi, M., 2009. Influence of Crop-Pasture Rotation and Tillage System on Yields of Wheat, Soybean, Barley, Sorghum and Sunflower in Uruguay. *Journal of Agricultural Machinery Science* 53, 253-262.
- Fontaine, S., Mariotti, A., Abbadie, L., 2003. The priming effect of organic matter: a question of microbial competition?. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 35, 837-843.
- García-Prechac, F., Ernst, O., Siri-Prieto, G., Terra, J.A., 2004. Integrating no-till into crop-pasture rotations in Uruguay. *Soil and Tillage Research* 77, 1.
- Gregorich, E.G., Rochette, P., McGuire, S., Liang, B.C., Lessard, R., 1998. Soluble organic carbon and carbon dioxide fluxes in maize fields receiving spring-applied manure. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 27, 209-214.
- Hendrix, P.F., Han, C.-R., Groffman, P.M., 1988. Soil respiration in conventional and no-tillage agroecosystems under different winter cover crop rotations. *Soil and Tillage Research* 12, 135-148.
- Hénin, S., Dupuis, M., 1945. Essai de bilan de la matière organique du sol. *Annales Agronomiques* 15, 17-29.
- Huggins, D.R., Allmaras, R.R., Clapp, C.E., Lamb, J.A., Randall, G.W., 2007. Corn-Soybean Sequence and Tillage Effects on Soil Carbon Dynamics and Storage. *Soil Science Society of American Journal* 71, 145-154.
- Huggins, D.R., Clapp, C.E., Allmaras, R.R., Lamb, J.A., Layese, M.F., 1998. Carbon Dynamics in Corn-Soybean Sequences as Estimated from Natural Carbon-13 Abundance. *Soil Science Society of American Journal* 62, 195-203.

- Kätterer, T., Bolinder, M.A., Andrén, O., Kirchmann, H., Menichetti, L., 2011. Roots contribute more to refractory soil organic matter than above-ground crop residues, as revealed by a long-term field experiment. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 141, 184-192.
- Kemarian, A.R., Stöckle, C.O., 2010. C-Farm: A simple model to evaluate the carbon balance of soil profiles. *European Journal of Agronomy* 32, 22-29.
- Khan, S.A., Mulvaney, R.L., Ellsworth, T.R., Boast, C.W., 2007. The Myth of Nitrogen Fertilization for Soil Carbon Sequestration. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 36, 1821-1832.
- Kowalenko, C.G., Ivarson, K.C., Cameron, D.R., 1978. Effect of moisture content, temperature and nitrogen fertilization on carbon dioxide evolution from field soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 10, 417-423.
- Lal, R., 2004. Soil carbon sequestration to mitigate climate change. *Geoderma* 123, 1-22.
- Oelbermann, M., Echarte, L., 2011. Evaluating soil carbon and nitrogen dynamics in recently established maize-soyabean inter-cropping systems. *European Journal of Soil Science* 62, 35-41.
- Paustian, K., Collins, H., Paul, E., 1997. Management controls on soil carbon. In: E.A. Paul, K. Paustian, E.T. Elliot, Cole, V.V. (Eds.), *Soil Organic Matter in Temperate Agroecosystems: Long-Term Experiments in North America*. CRC Press, Boca Raton., FL, USA, pp. 15-49.
- Plénet, D., Lubet, E., Juste, C., 1993. Évolution à long terme du statut carboné du sol en monoculture non irriguée du maïs (*Zea mays* L.). *Agronomie* 13, 685-698.
- Power, J.F., Wilhelm, W.W., Doran, J.W., 1986. Crop residue effects on soil environment and dryland maize and soya bean production. *Soil and Tillage Research* 8, 101-111.
- Rasmussen, P.E., Smiley, R.W., 1997. Soil carbon and nitrogen change in long-term agricultural experiments at Pendleton, Oregon. In: E.A. Paul, K. Paustian, E.T. Elliot, Cole, V.V. (Eds.), *Soil Organic Matter in Temperate Agroecosystems: Long-Term Experiments in North America*. CRC Press, Boca Raton., FL, USA, pp. 353-360.
- Salvo, L., Hernández, J., Ernst, O., 2010. Distribution of soil organic carbon in different size fractions, under pasture and crop rotations with conventional tillage and no-till systems. *Soil and Tillage Research* 109, 116-122.
- Sanchez, J.E., Paul, E.A., Willson, T.C., Smeenk, J., Harwood, R.R., 2002. Corn Root Effects on the Nitrogen-Supplying Capacity of a Conditioned Soil. *Agronomy Journal* 94, 391-396.
- Studdert, G.A., Echeverría, H.E., 2000. Crop rotations and nitrogen fertilization to manage soil organic carbon dynamics. *Soil Science Society of American Journal* 64, 1496-1503.
- West, T.O., Post, W.M., 2002. Soil Organic Carbon Sequestration Rates by Tillage and Crop Rotation: A Global Data Analysis. *Soil Science Society of American Journal* 66, 1930-1946.
- Wildung, R.E., Garland, T.R., Buschbom, R.L., 1975. The interdependent effects of soil temperature and water content on soil respiration rate and plant root decomposition in arid grassland soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 7, 373-378.